



Journal of the Senate

State of Indiana

119th General Assembly

Second Regular Session

Fifteenth Meeting Day

Tuesday Afternoon

February 2, 2016

The Senate convened at 2:03 p.m., with the President of the Senate, Sue Ellspermann, in the Chair.

Prayer was offered by Pastor Brian Stewart - Calvary Baptist Church.

The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was led by Senator Senator Mark A. Stoops.

The Chair ordered the roll of the Senate to be called. Those present were:

Alting	Leising
Arnold	Long
Banks	Merritt
Bassler	Messmer
Becker	Miller, Patricia
Boots	Miller, Pete
Bray	Mishler
Breaux	Mrvan
Broden	Niemeyer
Brown	Perfect
Buck	Raatz
Charbonneau	Randolph
Crider	Rogers
Delph	Schneider
Eckerty	Smith
Ford	Steele
Glick	Stoops
Grooms	Tallian
Head	Taylor
Hershman	Tomes
Holdman	Walker
Houchin	Waltz
Kenley	Yoder
Kruse	Young, M.
Lanane	Zakas

Roll Call 121: present 50; excused 0. [Note: A indicates those who were excused.] The Chair announced a quorum present. Pursuant to Senate Rule 5(d), no motion having been heard, the Journal of the previous day was considered read.

RESOLUTIONS ON FIRST READING

Senate Concurrent Resolution 21

Senate Concurrent Resolution 21, introduced by Senator Waltz:

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION urging the Indiana Department of Transportation to dedicate a portion of U.S. Highway 31 near the Camp Atterbury entrance in honor of

General Martin Umbarger's 45 years of service to the Indiana National Guard.

Whereas, General Martin Umbarger retired from the Indiana National Guard in 2015 after serving for 45 years, 11 of those as adjutant general for more than 15,800 personnel;

Whereas, A Bargersville native, General Umbarger graduated from Center Grove High School and the University of Evansville, and then went on to enlist in the Indiana National Guard in 1969;

Whereas, During his time in the Indiana National Guard, General Umbarger graduated from the Indiana Military Academy, the U.S. Command and General Staff College, and the U.S. Army War College;

Whereas, As adjutant general, he directed the pre-mobilized training, deployment and redeployment of virtually all units of the Indiana Army and Air National Guard in support of the Global War on Terrorism, served as a member of the Secretary of the Army's Reserve Forces Policy Committee, and held a position on the Secretary of Defense's Reserve Forces Policy Board;

Whereas, In his retirement, he plans on spending time with his wife, Rowana, and his three children; traveling; returning to the family business, Umbarger Show Feeds; and doing more philanthropic work, in addition to his positions on Franklin College and Johnson Memorial Hospital's Board of Trustees; and

Whereas, In recognition of General Umbarger's long commitment to the Indiana National Guard, it is fitting that the Indiana Department of Transportation dedicate a portion of U.S. Highway 31: Therefore,

Be it resolved by the Senate of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, the House of Representatives concurring:

SECTION 1. That the Indiana General Assembly urges the Indiana Department of Transportation to dedicate a portion of U.S. Highway 31 near the Camp Atterbury entrance to General Martin Umbarger.

SECTION 2. The Secretary of the Senate is hereby directed to transmit a copy of this Resolution to General Martin Umbarger and the Commissioner of the Indiana Department of Transportation, Brandye Hendrickson.

The resolution was read in full and referred to the Committee on Homeland Security & Transportation.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that the following resolutions be adopted:

- SCR 22 Senator Niemeyer
Commemorating the 75th anniversary of the attack on Pearl Harbor.
- HCR 10 Senator Grooms
Petitioning the National Park Service to complete the Eastern Legacy Special Resource Study mandated by Congress in 2008 and to recommend inclusion of the Eastern Legacy sites.
- HCR 21 Senator Kruse
Urging the Higher Learning Commission to revise its current guidelines on faculty qualifications so that Hoosier high school students can continue to benefit from Indiana's dual credit offerings.
- HCR 22 Senator Holdman
Recognizing the valuable contribution of the Indiana Sheriffs' Association.

LONG

Motion prevailed.

RESOLUTIONS ON FIRST READING**Senate Concurrent Resolution 22**

Senate Concurrent Resolution 22, introduced by Senators Niemeyer and Tomes:

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION commemorating the 75th anniversary of the attack on Pearl Harbor.

Whereas, December 7, 2016 will mark 75 years since the attack on Pearl Harbor, "a date which will live in infamy," as stated by President Franklin D. Roosevelt before a joint session of Congress;

Whereas, The Imperial Japanese Navy launched a surprise air attack against American military forces stationed at the United States naval base at Pearl Harbor, Territory of Hawaii at 7:55 a.m. on December 7, 1941;

Whereas, 353 Japanese aircraft attacked in two waves, crippling the Pacific Fleet in less than two hours, despite being outnumbered by American forces 5 to 1;

Whereas, The Japanese sunk four United States Navy battleships and damaged four others; sank or damaged three cruisers, three destroyers, an anti-aircraft training ship, and one minelayer; destroyed 188 United States aircraft; and killed 2,402 men and women, and wounded 1,282;

Whereas, The losses for the Japanese were relatively light as they lost 29 aircraft and five midget submarines, and 65 of their service people were killed or wounded;

Whereas, The attack on Pearl Harbor was a shock to the American people resulting in a disappearance of support for isolationism, a unification of the nation like nothing before, and an active alliance with Great Britain, which had previously been limited to clandestine support;

Whereas, On December 8, the United States declared war on Japan, which resulted in Germany and Italy declaring war on the United States on December 11, which was reciprocated by the United States the same day;

Whereas, Fourteen survivors of the attack, who were members of the former Pearl Harbor Survivors Association, reside in the State of Indiana currently; and

Whereas, December 7, 1941 is one of the most infamous days in American history and its importance cannot be overstated, thus commemorating the 75th anniversary of the attack on Pearl Harbor is essential as both a reminder of the attack and to honor the American forces that defended our country so valiantly that fateful day: Therefore,

Be it resolved by the Senate of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, the House of Representatives concurring:

SECTION 1. That the Indiana General Assembly commemorates the 75th anniversary of the attack on Pearl Harbor to honor the men and women who valiantly fought to protect the United States of America that day.

SECTION 2. The Secretary of the Senate is hereby directed to transmit a copy of this Resolution to Indiana State Chairman of the Sons and Daughters of Pearl Harbor Survivors, James M. Laud, Sr., and the remaining Indiana Pearl Harbor survivors.

The resolution was read in full and adopted by voice vote. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the resolution. House sponsor: Representative Baird.

House Concurrent Resolution 10

House Concurrent Resolution 10, sponsored by Senator Grooms:

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION petitioning the National Park Service to complete the Eastern Legacy Special Resource Study mandated by Congress in 2008 and to recommend inclusion of the Eastern Legacy sites, which include Indiana locations, in the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail.

Whereas, The Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail was established by Congress in 1978 and extended to the West from Wood River, Illinois, to the mouth of the Columbia River in Oregon and Washington;

Whereas, Section 343 of Public Law 110-229, enacted by the Congress of the United States in 2008, directed the National Park Service to conduct a Special Resources Study to assess the suitability and feasibility of extending the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail by including the Eastern Legacy sites, the historic routes and sites in the East that were vital to the preparation and planning of the Lewis and Clark Corps of Discovery Expedition;

Whereas, Extending the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail eastward fulfills the objectives of the National Trails System, established in 1968, to provide for the ever-increasing outdoor recreation needs of an expanding population and to promote the preservation of public access to, travel within, and enjoyment and appreciation of open-air, outdoor areas and historic resources of the nation;

Whereas, Stephen Ambrose wrote in his book, Undaunted Courage, "Lewis tied up at Clarksville and set off to meet his partner, who was living with his older brother, General George Rogers Clark. When they shook hands, the Lewis and Clark Expedition began.";

Whereas, Lewis and Clark recruited and enlisted the first members of the Corps of Discovery before departing down the Ohio River for the West from Clarksville on October 26, 1803;

Whereas, William Bratton of Waynetown, Montgomery County, joined the Expedition near Clarksville and completed the entire journey serving as a hunter, blacksmith, and saltmaker; and

*Whereas, Tourism is an important part of Indiana's economy, and completing the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail to include Eastern Legacy sites such as Indiana's Falls of the Ohio would greatly benefit the tourism industry and overall economy of the state:
Therefore,*

*Be it resolved by the House of Representatives
of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana,
the Senate concurring:*

SECTION 1. The National Park Service in the United States Department of the Interior is hereby urged and petitioned to expeditiously complete, and provide to Congress, the Eastern Legacy Special Resource Study.

SECTION 2. The report of the Eastern Legacy Special Resource Study shall include a recommendation that the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail be extended to the east by inclusion of the historically significant eastern corridors of the Corps of Discovery expedition for the period of 1803 through 1809.

SECTION 3. Principal Clerk of the House of Representatives

transmit a copy of this resolution to each member of the Indiana Congressional delegation, Jonathan B. Jarvis, Director of the National Park Service, and Cameron H. Sholly, Regional Director, Midwest Region of the National Park Service.

The resolution was read in full and adopted by voice vote. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the resolution.

House Concurrent Resolution 21

House Concurrent Resolution 21, sponsored by Senator Kruse:

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION urging the Higher Learning Commission to revise its current guidelines on faculty qualifications so that Hoosier high school students can continue to benefit from Indiana's strong, high quality dual credit offerings.

Whereas, Indiana is committed to offering high quality dual credit courses to high school students throughout the state;

Whereas, Over 66,000 students enrolled in dual credit courses during the 2013-2014 school year, and the number of students is estimated to increase each year;

Whereas, The Higher Learning Commission is an independent corporation and one of six regional institutional accreditors authorized by the United States Department of Education to accredit Indiana postsecondary institutions;

Whereas, The Higher Learning Commission's own 2013 study of dual credit ranked Indiana second in the nation for state policies in place to assure quality;

Whereas, State level data demonstrate that Indiana dual credit students are more likely to go to college, have higher college GPAs, persist in college, and not need remediation than those who do not take dual credit courses;

Whereas, The Higher Learning Commission issued guidelines on October 1, 2015, that did not receive adequate public comment;

Whereas, These guidelines restrict the ability of Indiana colleges and universities to exercise judgment about the academic background a faculty member must have in order to teach;

Whereas, The aforementioned guidelines do not recognize the value of a documented record of excellence in teaching as a means for determining qualified faculty;

Whereas, The Higher Learning Commission has emphasized inputs rather than using data and student outcome metrics as criteria for qualifying faculty; and

Whereas, Indiana, being conscious of the need to provide quality education for its students, asserts that Indiana colleges and universities are in the best position to select faculty to teach courses, including dual credit courses: Therefore,

Be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, the Senate concurring:

SECTION 1. That the Higher Learning Commission is urged to revise its current guidelines on faculty qualifications to allow Indiana colleges and universities appropriate flexibility and judgment in selecting faculty, including dual credit faculty, while at the same time assuring quality.

SECTION 2. That the Principal Clerk of the House of Representatives shall transmit copies of this resolution to all members of the Indiana congressional delegation, the United States Department of Education, and the Higher Learning Commission.

The resolution was read in full and adopted by voice vote. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the resolution.

House Concurrent Resolution 22

House Concurrent Resolution 22, sponsored by Senators Holdman and Arnold:

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION recognizing the valuable contribution of the Indiana Sheriffs' Association.

Whereas, The Indiana Sheriffs' Association was established in 1930 to promote better communication and exchange between the sheriffs and other law enforcement personnel;

Whereas, For the past 80 years with the support of its membership and supporters, the Indiana Sheriffs' Association has proven itself to be an asset to both those in law enforcement and the citizens of Indiana;

Whereas, In 1977, the Association was incorporated as a not-for-profit organization and began to focus on providing education and training for sheriffs and department personnel throughout the state that was not otherwise available;

Whereas, Effective January 1, 2003, Zachary's Law requires sheriffs' departments to jointly establish and maintain the Indiana Sheriffs' Sex Offender Registry;

Whereas, The Indiana Sheriffs' Association web site serves as an important resource for detailed information about individuals who by law must register as sex or violent offenders when moving into an Indiana county: Therefore,

Be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, the Senate concurring:

SECTION 1. That the Indiana General Assembly recognizes the valuable contribution of the Indiana Sheriffs' Association.

SECTION 2. That the Principal Clerk of the House of Representatives transmit a copy of this Resolution to Stephen Luce, Executive Director of the Indiana Sheriffs' Association.

The resolution was read in full and adopted by voice vote. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the resolution.

REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE

I hereby report that, on January 19, 2016, the Senate Committee on Ethics recommended that Senator Boots be excused from voting on Senate Bill 255 at any stage in the legislative process

LONG

Report adopted.

ENGROSSED JOINT RESOLUTIONS ON THIRD READING

Engrossed Senate Joint Resolution 14

Senator Holdman called up Engrossed Senate Joint Resolution 14 for third reading:

A JOINT RESOLUTION requesting the Congress to call a constitutional convention for the purpose of proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States concerning imposition of fiscal restraints on the federal government, limitations of the powers and jurisdiction of federal powers, and the limitation of the terms of office for its officials and for members of Congress.

Be it resolved by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

SECTION 1. The legislature of the State of Indiana hereby applies to Congress, under the provisions of Article V of the Constitution of the United States, for the calling of a convention of the states limited to proposing amendments to the Constitution of the United States that impose fiscal restraints of the federal government, limit the power and jurisdiction of the federal government, and limit the terms of office for its officials and for members of Congress.

SECTION 2. The secretary of state is hereby directed to transmit copies of this application to the President and Secretary of the United States Senate and to the Speaker and Clerk of the United States House of Representatives, and copies to the members of the said Senate and House of Representatives from this State; also to transmit copies hereof to the presiding officers of each of the legislative houses in the several States, requesting their cooperation.

SECTION 3. This application constitutes a continuing application in accordance with Article V of the Constitution of the United States until the legislatures of at least two-thirds of the several States have made applications on the same subject.

The joint resolution was read in full and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the joint resolution pass?

Roll Call 122: yeas 34, nays 16. The joint resolution was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the joint resolution remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the joint resolution. House sponsors: Representatives Koch, Smaltz, and Morrison.

SENATE BILLS ON SECOND READING

Senate Bill 63

Senator Kenley called up Senate Bill 63 for second reading. The bill was read a second time by title.

SENATE MOTION (Amendment 63-2)

Madam President: I move that Senate Bill 63 be amended to read as follows:

Page 1, line 17, delete "seventeen (17)" and insert "**eighteen (18)**".

Page 2, between lines 1 and 2, begin a new line block indented and insert:

"(2) The commissioner for the commission for higher education."

Page 2, line 2, delete "(2)" and insert "**(3)**".

Page 2, line 11, delete "(c)(2)" and insert "**(c)(3)**".

Page 2, line 15, delete "nine (9)" and insert "**ten (10)**".

(Reference is to SB 63 as printed January 29, 2016.)

KENLEY

Motion prevailed. The bill was ordered engrossed.

Senate Bill 80

Senator Head called up Senate Bill 80 for second reading. The bill was re-read a second time by title.

SENATE MOTION (Amendment 80-3)

Madam President: I move that Senate Bill 80 be amended to read as follows:

Page 4, line 19, strike "who" and insert "**that**".

Page 4, delete lines 24 through 42.

Delete pages 5 through 6.

Page 7, delete lines 1 through 41.

Re-number all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 80 as reprinted January 27, 2016.)

M. YOUNG

Motion prevailed. The bill was ordered engrossed.

Senate Bill 151

Senator Merritt called up Senate Bill 151 for second reading. The bill was read a second time by title.

SENATE MOTION (Amendment 151-3)

Madam President: I move that Senate Bill 151 be amended to read as follows:

Page 2, after line 4, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"(e) The payment for city services under this section is subordinate to those debt service payments for bonds of the municipal military base reuse authority issued before January 1, 2016.

(f) The payment for city services under this section shall be determined by a financial advisor with the approval, by written agreement, of the excluded city and the municipal military base reuse authority not later than August 1 of each year. Any deficiencies in payment of the fee for city services in a budget year must be replenished from the next available municipal military base reuse revenues subordinate to payment of debt service."

(Reference is to SB 151 as printed January 29, 2016.)

MERRITT

Motion prevailed. The bill was ordered engrossed.

Senate Bill 216

Senator Hershman called up Senate Bill 216 for second reading. The bill was re-read a second time by title.

SENATE MOTION (Amendment 216-4)

Madam President: I move that Senate Bill 216 be amended to read as follows:

Page 1, delete lines 1 through 17.

Page 2, delete lines 1 through 7.

Page 3, line 5, after "enforcement" insert "**contract**".

Page 3, delete lines 6 through 13.

Page 3, delete lines 17 through 19.

Re-number all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 216 as reprinted February 2, 2016.)

HERSHMAN

Motion prevailed. The bill was ordered engrossed.

Senate Bill 226

Senator Eckerty called up Senate Bill 226 for second reading. The bill was read a second time by title. There being no amendments, the bill was ordered engrossed.

Senate Bill 309

Senator Hershman called up Senate Bill 309 for second reading. The bill was read a second time by title.

SENATE MOTION
(Amendment 309-1)

Madam President: I move that Senate Bill 309 be amended to read as follows:

Page 14, between lines 12 and 13, begin a new paragraph and insert: "SECTION 16. IC 6-2.5-1-19.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016]: **Sec. 19.5. "Facilitator" means a person who:**

- (1) contracts or otherwise enters into an agreement:**
 - (A) with a person who rents or furnishes rooms, lodgings, or accommodations for consideration; and**
 - (B) to market the room, lodging, or accommodation through the Internet; and**
- (2) accepts payment from the consumer for the room, lodging, or accommodation.**

The term does not include a licensee (as defined in IC 25-34.1-1-2(6)) under the real estate broker licensing act (IC 25-34.1) or the owner of the room, lodging, or accommodation."

Page 16, between lines 17 and 18, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 19. IC 6-2.5-4-4 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016]: **Sec. 4. (a) A person is a retail merchant making a retail transaction when the person rents or furnishes rooms, lodgings, or other accommodations, such as booths, display spaces, banquet facilities, and cubicles or spaces used for adult relaxation, massage, modeling, dancing, or other entertainment to another person:**

- (1) if those rooms, lodgings, or accommodations are rented or furnished for periods of less than thirty (30) days; and**
- (2) if the rooms, lodgings, and accommodations are located in:**

- (A) a hotel, motel, inn, tourist camp, tourist cabin, gymnasium, hall, coliseum, or other place, where rooms, lodgings, or accommodations are regularly furnished for consideration; or**

- (B) a house, condominium, or apartment in which rooms, lodgings, or accommodations are rented or furnished for transient residential housing for consideration.**

(b) A facilitator is a retail merchant making a retail transaction when the facilitator accepts payment from the consumer for a room, lodging, or accommodation rented or furnished in Indiana.

~~(b)~~ **(c) Except as provided in section 4.2 of this chapter,** each rental or furnishing by a retail merchant under subsection (a) **or (b)** is a separate unitary transaction regardless of whether consideration is paid to an independent contractor or directly to the retail merchant.

~~(c)~~ **(d)** For purposes of this section, "consideration" includes a membership fee charged to a customer.

~~(d)~~ **(e)** Notwithstanding subsection (a), a person is not a retail merchant making a retail transaction if:

- (1) the person is a promoter that rents a booth or display space to an exhibitor; and**
- (2) the booth or display space is located in a facility that:**
 - (A) is described in subsection (a)(2); and**
 - (B) is operated by a political subdivision (including a capital improvement board established under IC 36-10-8 or IC 36-10-9) or the state fair commission.**

This subsection does not exempt from the state gross retail tax the renting of accommodations by a political subdivision or the state fair commission to a promoter or an exhibitor.

SECTION 20. IC 6-2.5-4-4.2 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016]: **Sec. 4.2. (a) A person or a facilitator who is a retail merchant making a retail transaction described in section 4 of this chapter shall give to the consumer of the room, lodging, or accommodation an itemized statement separately stating all of the following:**

- (1) The part of the gross retail income that is charged by the person for renting or furnishing the room, lodging, or accommodation.**
- (2) Any amount collected by the person renting or furnishing the room, lodging, or accommodation for:**
 - (A) the state gross retail or use tax; and**
 - (B) any innkeeper's tax due under IC 6-9.**
- (3) Any part of the gross retail income that is a fee, commission, or other charge of a facilitator."**

Page 17, delete lines 22 through 42.

Page 18, delete lines 1 through 23.

Page 59, delete lines 8 through 14.

Page 67, delete lines 36 through 39.

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 309 as printed January 29, 2016.)

WALKER

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION
(Amendment 309-2)

Madam President: I move that Senate Bill 309 be amended to read as follows:

Page 27, delete lines 37 through 42.

Page 28, delete lines 1 through 34.

Page 34, delete lines 10 through 33.

Page 50, delete lines 11 through 42.

Delete pages 51 through 52.

Page 53, delete lines 1 through 33.

Page 59, delete lines 22 through 42.

Page 60, delete lines 1 through 3.

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 309 as printed January 29, 2016.)

BRODEN

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION
(Amendment 309-3)

Madam President: I move that Senate Bill 309 be amended to read as follows:

Page 1, delete lines 1 through 15.

Delete pages 2 through 6.

Page 7, delete lines 1 through 10.

Page 60, delete lines 7 through 42.

Delete pages 61 through 66.

Page 67, delete lines 1 through 35.

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 309 as printed January 29, 2016.)

BRODEN

Motion failed.

SENATE MOTION
(Amendment 309-4)

Madam President: I move that Senate Bill 309 be amended to read as follows:

Page 1, between the enacting clause and line 1, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 1. IC 4-10-22-4.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2017]: **Sec. 4.5. (a) After the end of the state fiscal year beginning July 1, 2016, and ending June 30, 2017, and after the end of each state fiscal year thereafter, the office of management and budget shall estimate the result of:**

(1) the amount of individual adjusted gross income tax, corporate adjusted gross income tax, and financial institutions tax collected during the preceding state fiscal year; minus

(2) the amount of individual adjusted gross income tax, corporate adjusted gross income tax, and financial institutions tax that would have been collected during the preceding state fiscal year if:

(A) the decreases in the corporate adjusted gross income tax rate that were scheduled to become effective for taxable years beginning after June 30, 2016, had not been repealed effective July 1, 2016; and

(B) the decreases in the individual adjusted gross income tax rate and the financial institutions tax rate that were scheduled to become effective for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016, had not been repealed in 2016.

(b) The amount estimated under subsection (a) for a particular year may not be considered in the calculation of the total amount of state reserves for section 1 of this chapter.

(c) The office of management and budget shall not later than September 30, 2017, and not later than September 30 of each year thereafter make a presentation to the state budget committee regarding the amount estimated under subsection (a).

(d) If the amount estimated under subsection (a) is a positive amount, the auditor of state shall, after the presentation is made under subsection (c) and before November 1 of the year in which the estimate is made, transfer from the state general fund to the state highway fund for road and bridge repair an amount equal to the amount estimated under subsection (a). A transfer made under this section is in addition to any transfer made under this chapter in that year."

Page 27, between lines 36 and 37, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 23. IC 6-3-2-1, AS AMENDED BY P.L.80-2014, SECTION 9, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016]: Sec. 1. (a) Each taxable year, a tax at the following rate of adjusted gross income is imposed upon the adjusted gross income of every resident person, and on that part of the adjusted gross income derived from sources within Indiana of every nonresident person:

(1) For taxable years beginning before January 1, 2015, three and four-tenths percent (3.4%).

(2) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014, ~~and before January 1, 2017~~; three and three-tenths percent (3.3%).

~~(3) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016; three and twenty-three hundredths percent (3.23%);~~

(b) Except as provided in section 1.5 of this chapter, each taxable year, a tax at the following rate of adjusted gross income is imposed on that part of the adjusted gross income derived from sources within Indiana of every corporation:

(1) Before July 1, 2012, eight and five-tenths percent (8.5%).

(2) After June 30, 2012, and before July 1, 2013, eight percent (8.0%).

(3) After June 30, 2013, and before July 1, 2014, seven and five-tenths percent (7.5%).

(4) After June 30, 2014, and before July 1, 2015, seven percent (7.0%).

(5) After June 30, 2015, ~~and before July 1, 2016~~; six and five-tenths percent (6.5%).

~~(6) After June 30, 2016; and before July 1, 2017; six and twenty-five hundredths percent (6.25%);~~

~~(7) After June 30, 2017; and before July 1, 2018; six percent (6.0%);~~

~~(8) After June 30, 2018; and before July 1, 2019; five and seventy-five hundredths percent (5.75%);~~

~~(9) After June 30, 2019; and before July 1, 2020; five and five-tenths percent (5.5%);~~

~~(10) After June 30, 2020; and before July 1, 2021; five and twenty-five hundredths percent (5.25%);~~

~~(11) After June 30, 2021; four and nine-tenths percent (4.9%);~~

(c) If for any taxable year a taxpayer is subject to different tax rates under subsection (b), the taxpayer's tax rate for that taxable year is the rate determined in the last STEP of the following

STEPS:

STEP ONE: Multiply the number of months in the taxpayer's taxable year that precede the month the rate changed by the rate in effect before the rate change.

STEP TWO: Multiply the number of months in the taxpayer's taxable year that follow the month before the rate changed by the rate in effect after the rate change.

STEP THREE: Divide the sum of the amounts determined under STEPS ONE and TWO by twelve (12).

However, the rate determined under this subsection shall be rounded to the nearest one-hundredth of one percent (0.01%)."

Page 50, between lines 10 and 11, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 57. IC 6-5.5-2-1, AS AMENDED BY P.L.80-2014, SECTION 10, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016]: Sec. 1. (a) There is imposed on each taxpayer a franchise tax measured by the taxpayer's apportioned income for the privilege of exercising its franchise or the corporate privilege of transacting the business of a financial institution in Indiana. The amount of the tax for a taxable year shall be determined by multiplying the applicable rate under subsection (b) times the remainder of:

- (1) the taxpayer's apportioned income; minus
- (2) the taxpayer's deductible Indiana net operating losses as determined under this section; minus
- (3) the taxpayer's net capital losses minus the taxpayer's net capital gains computed under the Internal Revenue Code for each taxable year or part of a taxable year beginning after December 31, 1989, multiplied by the apportionment percentage applicable to the taxpayer under this chapter for the taxable year of the loss.

A net capital loss for a taxable year is a net capital loss carryover to each of the five (5) taxable years that follow the taxable year in which the loss occurred.

(b) The following are the applicable tax rates to be used under subsection (a):

- (1) For taxable years beginning before January 1, 2014, eight and five-tenths percent (8.5%).
- (2) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2013, and before January 1, 2015, eight percent (8.0%).
- (3) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2014, and before January 1, 2016, seven and five-tenths percent (7.5%).
- (4) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2015, and before January 1, 2017, seven percent (7.0%).
- (5) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2016, and before January 1, 2019, six and five-tenths percent (6.5%).
- (6) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2018, and before January 1, 2020, six and twenty-five hundredths percent (6.25%).
- (7) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2019, and before January 1, 2021, six percent (6.0%).
- (8) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2020,

and before January 1, 2022, five and five-tenths percent (5.5%);

(9) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2021, and before January 1, 2023, five percent (5.0%);

(10) For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2022, four and nine-tenths percent (4.9%).

(c) The amount of net operating losses deductible under subsection (a) is an amount equal to the net operating losses computed under the Internal Revenue Code, adjusted for the items set forth in IC 6-5.5-1-2, that are:

- (1) incurred in each taxable year, or part of a year, beginning after December 31, 1989; and
- (2) attributable to Indiana.

(d) The following apply to determining the amount of net operating losses that may be deducted under subsection (a):

- (1) The amount of net operating losses that is attributable to Indiana is the taxpayer's total net operating losses under the Internal Revenue Code for the taxable year of the loss, adjusted for the items set forth in IC 6-5.5-1-2, multiplied by the apportionment percentage applicable to the taxpayer under this chapter for the taxable year of the loss.
- (2) A net operating loss for any taxable year is a net operating loss carryover to each of the fifteen (15) taxable years that follow the taxable year in which the loss occurred.

(e) The following provisions apply to a combined return computing the tax on the basis of the income of the unitary group when the return is filed for more than one (1) taxpayer member of the unitary group for any taxable year:

- (1) Any net capital loss or net operating loss attributable to Indiana in the combined return shall be prorated between each taxpayer member of the unitary group by the quotient of:

- (A) the receipts of that taxpayer member attributable to Indiana under section 4 of this chapter; divided by
- (B) the receipts of all taxpayer members of the unitary group attributable to Indiana.

- (2) The net capital loss or net operating loss for that year, if any, to be carried forward to any subsequent year shall be limited to the capital gains or apportioned income for the subsequent year of that taxpayer, determined by the same receipts formula set out in subdivision (1)."

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 309 as printed January 29, 2016.)

TALLIAN

Motion failed. The bill was ordered engrossed.

Senate Bill 357

Senator Yoder called up Senate Bill 357 for second reading. The bill was read a second time by title. There being no amendments, the bill was ordered engrossed.

Senate Bill 375

Senator Hershman called up Senate Bill 375 for second reading. The bill was read a second time by title.

SENATE MOTION
(Amendment 375-3)

Madam President: I move that Senate Bill 375 be amended to read as follows:

Page 1, between lines 4 and 5, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"(b) For purposes of IC 5-16-13-10(c) and this section, the following apply:

(1) A contractor must be qualified under this chapter before doing any work on a public works project that is a public work:

(A) as defined by IC 4-13.6-1-13; or

(B) as defined by IC 36-1-12-2, excluding the construction, alteration, or repair of a highway, street, or alley.

(2) A supplier (as defined by IC 4-13.6-1-20) is not required to be qualified under this chapter before doing any work on a public works project."

Page 1, line 5, delete "(b)" and insert "(c)".

Page 1, line 6, delete "subsections (c) and" and insert **"subsection"**.

Page 1, line 10, delete "(c)" and insert **"(d)"**.

Page 1, line 11, after "contractor" insert **"in any contractor tier"**.

Page 1, line 17, delete "public agency" and insert **"local unit"**.

Page 2, delete lines 2 through 7, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"(e) The board may adopt rules under IC 4-22-2, including emergency rules in the manner provided under IC 4-22-2-37.1, to specify the circumstances under which a contractor's qualification under this chapter may include a limitation as to the dollar amount of a contract that may be awarded to the contractor for work on a public works project."

Page 2, between lines 17 and 18, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"(b) For purposes of IC 5-16-13-10(c) and this section, a contractor must be qualified under this chapter before doing any work on a public works project that is the construction, improvement, alteration, repair, or maintenance of a road (as defined by IC 8-23-1-23), highway, street, or alley."

Page 2, line 18, delete "(b)" and insert "(c)".

Page 2, line 19, delete "subsections (c) and" and insert **"subsection"**.

Page 2, line 23, delete "(c)" and insert **"(d)"**.

Page 2, line 24, after "contractor" insert **"in any contractor tier"**.

Page 2, line 30, delete "public agency" and insert **"local unit"**.

Page 2, delete lines 32 through 37, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 4. IC 8-23-10-1 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 1. It is unlawful to award a contract to any person other than a bidder previously qualified in compliance with this chapter, except for

the award of a contract for a public works project by a local unit whenever section 0.5(d) of this chapter applies."

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 375 as printed January 22, 2016.)

HERSHMAN

Motion prevailed. The bill was ordered engrossed.

**ENGROSSED SENATE BILLS
ON THIRD READING**

Engrossed Senate Bill 27

Senator Steele called up Engrossed Senate Bill 27 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning courts and court officers.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 123: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Speedy, Pryor, and Delaney.

Engrossed Senate Bill 30

Senator Patricia Miller called up Engrossed Senate Bill 30 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning insurance.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 124: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives T. Brown and C. Brown.

Engrossed Senate Bill 93

Senator Kruse called up Engrossed Senate Bill 93 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning education.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 125: yeas 49, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the

bill. House sponsors: Representatives Behning, Rhoads, and V. Smith.

Engrossed Senate Bill 132

Senator Broden called up Engrossed Senate Bill 132 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning human services.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 126: yeas 43, nays 7. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives T. Brown, C. Brown, and Niezgodski.

Engrossed Senate Bill 160

Senator M. Young called up Engrossed Senate Bill 160 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning family law and juvenile law.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 127: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsor: Representative Washburne.

Engrossed Senate Bill 161

Senator M. Young called up Engrossed Senate Bill 161 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning criminal law and procedure.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 128: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsor: Representative Frizzell.

Engrossed Senate Bill 162

Senator Patricia Miller called up Engrossed Senate Bill 162 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning health.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 129: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Kirchhofer and C. Brown.

Engrossed Senate Bill 169

Senator Altung called up Engrossed Senate Bill 169 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning alcohol and tobacco.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 130: yeas 46, nays 4. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Eberhart, Clere and Macer.

Engrossed Senate Bill 177

Senator Messmer called up Engrossed Senate Bill 177 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning alcohol and tobacco.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 131: yeas 42, nays 8. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsor: Representative Lehman.

Engrossed Senate Bill 178

Senator Messmer called up Engrossed Senate Bill 178 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning criminal law and procedure.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 132: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsor: Representative Steuerwald.

Engrossed Senate Bill 186

Senator Merritt called up Engrossed Senate Bill 186 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning professions and occupations.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 133: yeas 48, nays 2. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Kirchhofer, T. Brown, C. Brown, and Shackleford.

Engrossed Senate Bill 192

Senator Crider called up Engrossed Senate Bill 192 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning protective proceedings.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 134: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives DeVon and T. Brown.

Engrossed Senate Bill 197

Senator Crider called up Engrossed Senate Bill 197 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning criminal law and procedure.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 135: yeas 46, nays 4. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsor: Representative Koch.

Engrossed Senate Bill 204

Senator Merritt called up Engrossed Senate Bill 204 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning property.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 136: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Price and Clere.

Engrossed Senate Bill 220

Senator Glick called up Engrossed Senate Bill 220 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning criminal law and procedure.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 137: yeas 34, nays 16. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsor: Representative Truitt.

Engrossed Senate Bill 221

Senator Eckerty called up Engrossed Senate Bill 221 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning business and other associations.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 138: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsor: Representative Heaton.

Engrossed Senate Bill 248

Senator Ford called up Engrossed Senate Bill 248 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning transportation.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 139: yeas 43, nays 7. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Moseley and Soliday.

Engrossed Senate Bill 255

Senator Charbonneau called up Engrossed Senate Bill 255 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning environmental law.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 140: yeas 49, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Wolkins and Beumer.

Engrossed Senate Bill 268

Senator Taylor called up Engrossed Senate Bill 268 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning education.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 141: yeas 41, nays 9. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Behning, V. Smith, and Porter.

Engrossed Senate Bill 271

Senator Merritt called up Engrossed Senate Bill 271 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning state and local administration.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 142: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives McNamara, Goodin, and Ziemke.

Engrossed Senate Bill 272

Senator Lanane called up Engrossed Senate Bill 272 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning health.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 143: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair

instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Kirchhofer, Harris, and C. Brown.

Engrossed Senate Bill 290

Senator M. Young called up Engrossed Senate Bill 290 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning criminal law and procedure.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 144: yeas 46, nays 4. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsor: Representative Steuerwald.

Engrossed Senate Bill 294

Senator Alting called up Engrossed Senate Bill 294 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning alcohol and tobacco.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 145: yeas 45, nays 5. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Dermody, Eberhart, Truitt, and Macer.

Engrossed Senate Bill 295

Senator Banks called up Engrossed Senate Bill 295 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning military and veterans.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 146: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Baird, Cox, GiaQuinta, and Judy.

Engrossed Senate Bill 300

Senator Boots called up Engrossed Senate Bill 300 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning civil procedure.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 147: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Mahan and Truitt.

Engrossed Senate Bill 304

Senator Kenley called up Engrossed Senate Bill 304 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning taxation.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 148: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Baird, T. Brown, and Bartlett.

Engrossed Senate Bill 307

Senator Kenley called up Engrossed Senate Bill 307 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning education.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 149: yeas 48, nays 2. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Behning, T. Brown, and Huston.

Engrossed Senate Bill 310

Senator Rogers called up Engrossed Senate Bill 310 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning local government.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 150: yeas 50, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair

instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsors: Representatives Slager and V. Smith.

Engrossed Senate Bill 313

Senator Holdman called up Engrossed Senate Bill 313 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning health.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 151: yeas 35, nays 14. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Chair instructed the Secretary to inform the House of the passage of the bill. House sponsor: Representative Lehman.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

Madam President: I am directed by the House to inform the Senate that the House has passed Senate Concurrent Resolutions 16 and 17 and the same are herewith returned to the Senate.

M. CAROLINE SPOTTS
Principal Clerk of the House

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

Madam President: I am directed by the House to inform the Senate that the House has passed House Concurrent Resolutions 21 and 22 and the same are herewith transmitted for further action.

M. CAROLINE SPOTTS
Principal Clerk of the House

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

Madam President: I am directed by the House to inform the Senate that the House has passed Engrossed House Bills 1005, 1017, 1034, 1046, 1164, 1173, 1179, 1218, 1219, 1222, 1231, 1273, 1313, 1330, 1336, 1353, 1359, 1360, 1370, 1373 and 1394 and the same are herewith transmitted to the Senate for further action.

M. CAROLINE SPOTTS
Principal Clerk of the House

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Delph be added as third author of Senate Bill 204.

MERRITT

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Taylor be added as

coauthor of Senate Bill 178.

MESSMER

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Patricia Miller be added as coauthor of Senate Joint Resolution 14.

HOLDMAN

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senators Steele and Patricia Miller be added as coauthors of Senate Bill 161.

M. YOUNG

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Charbonneau be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 272.

LANANE

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Steele be added as third author of Senate Bill 160.

M. YOUNG

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Randolph be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 80.

HEAD

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Randolph be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 63.

KENLEY

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Bray be added as second author of Senate Bill 160.

M. YOUNG

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Breaux be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 315.

BROWN

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Taylor be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 248.

FORD

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Taylor be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 290.

M. YOUNG

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Breaux be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 327.

BASSLER

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Head be added as second author of Senate Bill 290.

M. YOUNG

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Tallian be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 178.

MESSMER

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Rogers be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 307.

KENLEY

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Rogers be added as

coauthor of Senate Bill 334.

YODER

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Holdman be added as second author of Senate Bill 309.

HERSHMAN

Motion prevailed.

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Merritt be added as third author of Senate Bill 300.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Leising be added as second author of Senate Bill 30.

PATRICIA MILLER

Motion prevailed.

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Broden be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 323.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Alting be added as second author of Senate Bill 216.

HERSHMAN

Motion prevailed.

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Broden be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 375.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Patricia Miller be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 295.

BANKS

Motion prevailed.

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Merritt be added as third author of Senate Bill 383.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senators Tomes and Lanane be added as coauthors of Senate Bill 295.

BANKS

Motion prevailed.

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Grooms be added as second author and Senator Bassler be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 248.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Hershman be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 307.

KENLEY

Motion prevailed.

Motion prevailed.

FORD

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Pete Miller be added as second author of Senate Bill 323.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Randolph be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 178.

MESSMER

Motion prevailed.

Motion prevailed.

HERSHMAN

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Patricia Miller be added as third author of Senate Bill 192.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Steele be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 313.

HOLDMAN

Motion prevailed.

Motion prevailed.

CRIDER

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Randolph be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 271.

MERRITT

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Randolph be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 169.

ALTING

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move that Senator Glick be added as coauthor of Senate Bill 304.

KENLEY

Motion prevailed.

SENATE MOTION

Madam President: I move we adjourn until 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, February 3, 2016.

LONG

Motion prevailed.

The Senate adjourned at 5:54 p.m.

JENNIFER L. MERTZ
Secretary of the Senate

SUE ELLSPERMANN
President of the Senate