



Journal of the House

State of Indiana

120th General Assembly

Second Regular Session

Twenty-Third Day

Tuesday Afternoon

February 20, 2018

The invocation was offered by Pastor Dave Nicholson from Ritter Avenue Free Methodist Church in Indianapolis, a guest of Representative Richardson.

The House convened at 1:30 p.m. with Speaker Brian C. Bosma in the Chair.

The Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag was led by Representative Culver.

The Speaker ordered the roll of the House to be called:

Austin	Kirchhofer
Aylesworth	Klinker
Bacon	Lawson <input type="checkbox"/>
Baird	Lehe
Bartels	Lehman
Bartlett	Leonard
Bauer	Lindauer
Behning	Lucas
Beumer	Lyness
Borders	Macer
C. Brown	Mahan
T. Brown	May
Burton	Mayfield
Candelaria Reardon	McNamara
Carbaugh	Miller
Cherry	Moed <input type="checkbox"/>
Clere	Morris
Cook	Morrison
Culver	Moseley
Davisson	Negele
DeLaney	Nisly
DeVon	Ober
Dvorak	Olthoff
Eberhart <input type="checkbox"/>	Pelath
Ellington	Pierce
Engleman	Porter
Errington	Pressel
Forestal	Pryor
Friend	Richardson
Frizzell	Saunders
Frye	Schaibley
GiaQuinta	Shackleford
Goodin	Siegrist
Gutwein	Slager
Hamilton	Smaltz
Hamm	M. Smith
Harris	V. Smith
Hatfield	Soliday
Heaton	Speedy
Heine	Stemler <input type="checkbox"/>
Huston	Steuerwald
Jordan	Sullivan
Judy	Summers
Karickhoff	J. Taylor
Kersey	Thompson

Torr
VanNatter
Washburne
Wesco
Wolkins

Wright
J. Young
Zent
Ziemke
Mr. Speaker

Roll Call 186: 96 present; 4 excused. The Speaker announced a quorum in attendance. [NOTE: indicates those who were excused.]

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that when we do adjourn, we adjourn until Thursday, February 22, 2018, at 10:00 a.m.

LEHMAN

The motion was adopted by a constitutional majority.

RESOLUTIONS ON FIRST READING

House Concurrent Resolution 48

Representatives Behning, Bacon, C. Brown, Kirchhofer, Lehe, Slager, Zent and Ziemke introduced House Concurrent Resolution 48:

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION memorializing Dr. Kevin Rodgers.

Whereas, Dr. Kevin Rodgers, an emergency physician, lacrosse coach, lifelong academician, husband, and father, passed from this life on November 20, 2017, at the age of 61;

Whereas, Dr. Rodgers was a practicing emergency physician in Indianapolis for over 15 years, impacting the lives of thousands of patients around the city and state;

Whereas, Dr. Rodgers served as a program director for the Indiana University Emergency Medicine residency program from 1998 to 2016, facilitating the training of countless physicians who currently practice medicine around the state of Indiana;

Whereas, Dr. Rodgers inspired and coached numerous young Indiana athletes as an assistant coach of the Cathedral High School lacrosse team;

Whereas, Dr. Rodgers served his country and all citizens of the United States as a physician in the United States Army from 1982 until the time of his discharge as a lieutenant colonel in 1998;

Whereas, Dr. Rodgers was an active member of his community and a parishioner at St. Malachy Parish in Brownsburg; and

Whereas, Dr. Rodgers will always be remembered for his zest for life, passion for teaching, jovial personality, as a wonderful father and husband, and for exceptional skills as a chef by all those who had the honor to know him: Therefore,

Be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, the Senate concurring:

SECTION 1. That the Indiana General Assembly wishes to memorialize the life of Dr. Kevin Rodgers and acknowledge his many contributions to his community and the state of Indiana.

SECTION 2. That the Principal Clerk of the House of Representatives shall transmit a copy of this resolution to the family of Dr. Kevin Rodgers.

The resolution was read a first time and adopted by voice vote. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the resolution. Senate sponsor: Senator Charbonneau.

House Resolution 24

Representative Frizzell introduced House Resolution 24:

A HOUSE RESOLUTION recognizing the use of physical therapy as a safe alternative to opioids.

Whereas, One in four individuals who receive long-term prescription opioids for non-cancer pain in primary care settings battle addiction, and 91 Americans die every day from an opioid overdose;

Whereas, Individuals who are addicted to prescription opioid painkillers are 40 times more likely to become addicted to heroin;

Whereas, There has been a 200 percent increase in the rate of overdose deaths involving opioid pain relievers and heroin since 2000;

Whereas, Indiana exceeded all but eight states in the number of pain prescriptions written per 100 people in 2012, indicating there were enough opioid prescriptions for every Hoosier to have his or her own bottle of pain pills;

Whereas, In 2016, opioid pain relievers were responsible for the deaths of 488 individuals in Indiana;

Whereas, The American Physical Therapy Association declares physical therapy as a safe and effective alternative for the treatment of pain and enhancement of health, well-being, and quality of life through movement and education;

Whereas, The Indiana Chapter of the American Physical Therapy Association is participating in the "Choose PT" campaign to advocate for physical therapy as an alternative treatment to opioid prescriptions for treating chronic pain rather than masking the pain;

Whereas, The "Choose PT" campaign emphasizes the importance of a therapeutic alliance with patients to create an environment where patients feel heard when describing their pain, and also are willing to listen to education and risk factors regarding long-term use of opioids and discuss current or potential substance abuse behaviors; and

Whereas, The "Choose PT" campaign identifies resources, such as pain profiles, to develop a plan of care to treat patient pain and determine realistic functional goals based on patient presentation: Therefore,

Be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

SECTION 1. That the Indiana House of Representatives urges physical therapists practicing in the state of Indiana to do their part in creating public awareness of physical therapy's role in reducing the opioid epidemic and the treatment of chronic pain.

SECTION 2. That the Principal Clerk of the House of Representatives shall transmit a copy of this resolution to the Indiana Chapter of the American Physical Therapy Association.

The resolution was read a first time and adopted by voice vote.

House Resolution 25

Representatives Macer, Behning and Moed introduced House Resolution 25:

A HOUSE RESOLUTION congratulating the Ben Davis High School football team.

Whereas, The Ben Davis Giants defeated Penn High School by a score of 63-14 to win the Class 6A state football championship title;

Whereas, This victory for Mike Kirschner's team gives the school its ninth state title and the second in Class 6A;

Whereas, In control from the beginning, the Giants took a 28-7 lead after one quarter and led by a score of 49-7 at halftime;

Whereas, The Giants finished with 564 yards in offense, and their defense held Penn High School to 204 total yards;

Whereas, Senior quarterback Reese Taylor threw for six touchdowns while completing 18 of 22 passes for 340 yards;

Whereas, During this championship game, 16 individual and 11 team records were set and five more tied;

Whereas, Tommy McDonald was named winner of the Phil N. Eskew Mental Attitude Award in Class 6A Football by the IHSAA Executive Committee; and

Whereas, The team's state championship and great 14-0 season are the result of a total team effort, and each member of the team, the managers, and the coaching staff should be praised for superior performance and team spirit: Therefore,

Be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

SECTION 1. That the Indiana House of Representatives congratulates the Ben Davis High School football team, managers, coaches, and faculty for an outstanding season culminating in a state championship.

SECTION 2. That the Principal Clerk of the House of Representatives shall transmit a copy of this resolution to each player, manager, and coach of the team, to the athletic director, and to the principal of Ben Davis High School.

The resolution was read a first time and adopted by voice vote.

Senate Concurrent Resolution 16

The Speaker handed down Senate Concurrent Resolution 16, sponsored by Representatives Bauer, DeVon, J. Taylor, Dvorak and Wesco:

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION congratulating the St. Joseph High School baseball team on their 2017 IHSAA Class 3A state championship.

Whereas, On Saturday, June 17, 2017, the St. Joseph High School baseball team won the Indiana High School Athletic Association ("IHSAA") Class 3A state championship title at Victory Field in Indianapolis;

Whereas, This was the baseball team's first appearance in the state championship game, where they defeated perennial championship contender Jasper 4-0;

Whereas, St. Joe's championship title represents the first baseball title for a baseball team from South Bend since 1970;

Whereas, The team finished the season with an impressive 25-4 record, including an 11-1 mark in the Northern Indiana Conference ("NIC");

Whereas, Senior pitcher Michael Dunkelberger led the team to victory by pitching a complete game shutout, allowing only three hits and giving up two walks;

Whereas, Senior shortstop Tony Carmola was named NIC Most Valuable Player and coach John Gumpf was named NIC Coach of the Year en route to the IHSAA championship; and

Whereas, The young men of the St. Joseph High School baseball team are student athletes who managed to balance countless hours of baseball practices and games on top of a full academic course load, and the Indiana General Assembly commends these young men for their commitment to both academics and athletics: Therefore,

*Be it resolved by the Senate
of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana,
the House of Representatives concurring:*

SECTION 1. That the Indiana General Assembly congratulates the St. Joseph High School baseball team on winning the 2017 IHSAA Class 3A state championship.

SECTION 2. The Secretary of the Senate is hereby directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to St. Joseph High School Principal Susan Richter, Athletic Director Debbie Brown, and baseball coach John Gumpf.

The resolution was read a first time and adopted by voice vote. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the resolution.

Senate Concurrent Resolution 38

The Speaker handed down Senate Concurrent Resolution 38, sponsored by Representatives Speedy and Burton:

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION congratulating Elliot Cox on winning the 2017 SuperKarts! USA ProTour national championship in the Micro Swift class.

Whereas, Elliot began driving karts in the fall of 2012 at the age of five after his grandfather took him to buy his first kart;

Whereas, Elliot won his first race at New Castle Motorsports Park at the age of five and went on to win three additional races in his rookie Kid Kart season, culminating in being named the Rookie of the Year;

Whereas, In 2017, Elliot competed across the United States, collecting 13 season wins and over 20 podium finishes in SuperKarts! USA, Florida Winter Tour, WKA Manufacturer's Cup, United States Pro Kart Series, Route 66, and Kart Racers of America series;

Whereas, Elliot completed the 2017 season by winning the Kart Racers of America Junior Sportsman championship and by winning his first SuperKarts! USA Micro Swift national championship;

Whereas, Off the track, Elliot is an honor roll student at Gray Road Christian School and a proud alumni of the Dyslexia Institute of Indiana;

Whereas, Elliot drives to promote awareness for childhood cancer and dyslexia by speaking on dyslexia awareness campaigns and radio ads with Justin Wilson, Scott Dixon, and Stefan Wilson and has raised over \$8,500 for childhood cancer research through Alex's Lemonade Stand and \$13,000 for the Dyslexia Institute of Indiana; and

Whereas, Elliot continues to dream of becoming the youngest Indianapolis 500 winner and attributes his dyslexia to his continued success: Therefore,

*Be it resolved by the Senate
of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana,
the House of Representatives concurring:*

SECTION 1. That the Indiana General Assembly congratulates Elliot Cox on winning the 2017 SuperKarts! USA ProTour national championship in the Micro Swift class and wishes him well on his future races.

SECTION 2. The Secretary of the Senate is hereby directed to transmit a copy of this resolution to Elliot Cox

The resolution was read a first time and adopted by voice vote. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the resolution.

Senate Concurrent Resolution 41

The Speaker handed down Senate Concurrent Resolution 41, sponsored by Representative Heaton:

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION urging continued recognition of The Jennifer Act.

Whereas, House Enrolled Act 1448 (2015) was deemed "The Jennifer Act" to memorialize Jennifer Reynolds after she passed away from an accidental prescription drug overdose;

Whereas, The Act received unanimous approval in both chambers of the Indiana General Assembly before it was signed into law by Governor Pence on May 4, 2015;

Whereas, Provisions in the bill touched on educating judges, prosecutors, and public defenders on the use of diversion and other probationary programs, medication assisted treatment options, and civil commitment options in cases involving persons with addictive disorders;

Whereas, The Jennifer Act also allowed inpatient substance abuse detoxification services to be provided under Medicaid;

Whereas, The Act remains a critical piece of the conversation in trying to prevent accidental overdose deaths because many Hoosiers still struggle with addictive disorders; and

Whereas, Jennifer Reynolds's story reminds us of the importance of each life and the work that is yet to be done to address addiction issues in our communities: Therefore,

*Be it resolved by the Senate
of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana,
the House of Representatives concurring:*

SECTION 1. That the Indiana General Assembly urges continued recognition of The Jennifer Act as a way to combat addiction in Hoosier communities.

SECTION 2. The Secretary of the Senate is hereby directed to distribute a copy of this resolution to Sharon Blair, mother of Jennifer Reynolds and advocate for The Jennifer Act.

The resolution was read a first time and adopted by voice vote. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the resolution.

Senate Concurrent Resolution 43

The Speaker handed down Senate Concurrent Resolution 43, sponsored by Representative Mayfield:

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION congratulating the Martinsville High School Academic Decathlon team on winning its nineteenth state championship.

Whereas, The Martinsville High School Academic Decathlon team competed against Lowell, Homestead, New Albany, and Crown Point High School during the large school state finals at Purdue University on February 9 and 10, 2018;

Whereas, The team dominated the state finals event by finishing first in five out of ten academic categories including economics, essay, language and literature, speech and social science;

Whereas, The Martinsville High School Academic Decathlon team will have the privilege of representing the state of Indiana at the United States Academic Decathlon National Finals in Frisco, Texas, in April;

Whereas, Preparation for and success in the academic decathlon requires immense dedication, sacrifice, hundreds of hours of practice, and community support to excel at such a high level; and

Whereas, This is the second straight title and the nineteenth overall state championship for the Martinsville High School Academic Decathlon team: Therefore,

Be it resolved by the Senate of the General Assembly of the State of Indiana, the House of Representatives concurring:

SECTION 1. That the Indiana General Assembly congratulates the Martinsville High School Academic Decathlon team on winning its nineteenth state championship for the large school division in the Indiana Academic Decathlon state championship and wishes the team continued success in all its future endeavors.

SECTION 2. The Secretary of the Senate is hereby directed to transmit copies of this resolution to Head Coach Chris Sturgeon, Assistant Coaches Matt Smith, Nancy Matheny, Ken Barrett, Scott Salmon, Clifford Marr and Alex Hofer, and students Joseph McGuire, Ali Wilt, Devon Heath, Baileigh Hofer, Tanner Guerrettaz, Rebecca Clark, Gus Eaton, and Cameron Clark

The resolution was read a first time and adopted by voice vote. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the resolution.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Employment, Labor and Pensions, to which was referred Senate Bill 27, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to SB 27 as printed January 5, 2018.)

Committee Vote: Yeas 11, Nays 0.

VANNATTER, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Education, to which was referred Senate Bill 65, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 1, line 14, delete "sexuality, including sexual activity," and insert "**sexuality**".

Page 1, delete line 15.

Page 2, delete lines 22 through 29, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"(c) Before a school may provide a student with instruction on human sexuality, the school must provide the parent of the student or the student, if the student is an adult or an emancipated minor, with a written request for consent of instruction. A consent form provided to a parent of a student or a student under this subsection must accurately summarize the contents and nature of the instruction on human sexuality that will be provided to the student and indicate that a parent of a student or an adult or emancipated minor student has the right to review and inspect all materials related to the instruction on human

sexuality. The written consent form may be sent in an electronic format. The parent of the student or the student, if the student is an adult or an emancipated minor, may return the consent form indicating that the parent of the student or the adult or emancipated student:

(1) consents to the instruction; or

(2) declines instruction.

If a student does not participate in the instruction on human sexuality, the school shall provide the student with alternative academic instruction during the same time frame that the instruction on human sexuality is provided.

(d) If the parent of the student or the student, if the student is an adult or an emancipated minor, does not respond to the written request provided by the school under subsection (c) within forty-five (45) days after receiving the request under subsection (c), the school shall provide the parent of the student, or the student, if the student is an adult or an emancipated minor, a written notice requesting that the parent of the student, or the student, if the student is an adult or an emancipated minor, indicate, in a manner prescribed by the school, whether the parent of the student or the adult or emancipated student:

(1) consents to the instruction; or

(2) declines instruction.

A notice provided to a parent of a student or a student under this subsection must accurately summarize the contents and nature of the instruction on human sexuality that will be provided to the student and indicate that a parent of a student or an adult or emancipated minor student has the right to review and inspect all materials related to the instruction on human sexuality. The notice may be sent in an electronic format. If the school does not receive a response within ten (10) days after the notice, the student will receive the instruction on human sexuality unless the parent or the adult or emancipated student subsequently opts out of the instruction for the student."

Page 2, line 30, delete "(d)" and insert "(e)".

Page 2, line 32, delete "(e)" and insert "(f)".

(Reference is to SB 65 as printed January 26, 2018.) and when so amended that said bill do pass.

Committee Vote: yeas 8, nays 5.

BEHNING, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Employment, Labor and Pensions, to which was referred Senate Bill 75, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 1, after line 18, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 2. [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE] (a) The legislative council is urged to assign to an appropriate interim study committee the task of studying the topic of establishing a public safety research fund. An interim study committee assigned a study under this SECTION shall consider the following topics:

(1) Whether a public safety research fund should be established to provide resources for the research of risk factors that:

(A) impact the physical and psychological health of public safety personnel; and

(B) are responsible for early and preventable death and disability among public safety personnel.

(2) The amount of money that should be annually appropriated to the public safety research fund.

(b) This SECTION expires January 1, 2019."

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 75 as printed January 19, 2018.)
and when so amended that said bill do pass.

Committee Vote: yeas 10, nays 0.

VANNATTER, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred Senate Bill 98, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 1, between the enacting clause and line 1, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 1. IC 9-13-2-80.1 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2019]: **Sec. 80.1. "Initial hearing", for purposes of IC 9-30-16-1, means an initial hearing described in IC 35-33-7.**

SECTION 2. IC 9-30-16-1, AS AMENDED BY P.L.256-2017, SECTION 181, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2019]: Sec. 1. (a) Except as provided in subsection (b), the following are ineligible for specialized driving privileges under this chapter:

- (1) A person who has never been an Indiana resident.
 - (2) A person seeking specialized driving privileges with respect to a suspension based on the person's refusal to submit to a chemical test offered under IC 9-30-6 or IC 9-30-7.
 - (3) A person whose driving privileges have been suspended or revoked under IC 9-24-10-7(b)(2)(A).
- (b) This chapter applies to the following:
- (1) A person who held an operator's, a commercial driver's, a public passenger chauffeur's, or a chauffeur's license at the time of:
 - (A) the criminal conviction for which the operation of a motor vehicle is an element of the offense;
 - (B) any criminal conviction for an offense under IC 9-30-5, **IC 35-46-9, or IC 14-15-8 (before its repeal)**; or
 - (C) committing the infraction of exceeding a worksite speed limit for the second time in one (1) year under IC 9-21-5-11(f).
 - (2) A person who:
 - (A) has never held a valid Indiana driver's license or does not currently hold a valid Indiana learner's permit; and
 - (B) was an Indiana resident when the driving privileges for which the person is seeking specialized driving privileges were suspended.

(c) Except as specifically provided in this chapter, a court may suspend the driving privileges of a person convicted of any of the following offenses for a period up to the maximum allowable period of incarceration under the penalty for the offense:

- (1) Any criminal conviction in which the operation of a motor vehicle is an element of the offense.
- (2) Any criminal conviction for an offense under IC 9-30-5, **IC 35-46-9, or IC 14-15-8 (before its repeal)**.
- (3) Any offense under IC 35-42-1, IC 35-42-2, or IC 35-44.1-3-1 that involves the use of a vehicle.

(d) Except as provided in section 3.5 of this chapter, a suspension of driving privileges under this chapter may begin before the conviction. Multiple suspensions of driving privileges ordered by a court that are part of the same episode of criminal conduct shall be served concurrently. A court may grant credit time for any suspension that began before the conviction, except as prohibited by section 6(a)(2) of this chapter.

(e) If a person has had an ignition interlock device installed as a condition of specialized driving privileges or under

IC 9-30-6-8(d), the period of the installation shall be credited as part of the suspension of driving privileges.

(f) This subsection applies to a person described in subsection (b)(2). A court shall, as a condition of granting specialized driving privileges to the person, require the person to apply for and obtain an Indiana driver's license.

(g) If a person indicates to the court at an initial hearing (as described in IC 35-33-7) that the person intends to file a petition for a specialized driving privileges hearing with that court under section 3 or 4 of this chapter, the following apply:

(1) The court shall:

(A) stay the suspension of the person's driving privileges at the initial hearing and shall not submit the probable cause affidavit related to the person's offense to the bureau; and

(B) set the matter for a specialized driving privileges hearing not later than thirty (30) days after the initial hearing.

(2) If the person does not file a petition for a specialized driving privileges hearing not later than ten (10) days after the date of the initial hearing, the court shall lift the stay of the suspension of the person's driving privileges and shall submit the probable cause affidavit related to the person's offense to the bureau for automatic suspension.

(3) If the person files a petition for a specialized driving privileges hearing not later than ten (10) days after the initial hearing, the stay of the suspension of the person's driving privileges continues until the matter is heard and a determination is made by the court at the specialized driving privileges hearing.

(4) If the specialized driving privileges hearing is continued due to:

(A) a congestion of the court calendar;

(B) the prosecuting attorney's motion for a continuance; or

(C) the person's motion for a continuance with no objection by the prosecuting attorney; the stay of the suspension of the person's driving privileges continues until addressed at the next hearing.

(5) If the person moves for a continuance of the specialized driving privileges hearing and the court grants the continuance over the prosecuting attorney's objection, the court shall lift the stay of the suspension of the person's driving privileges and shall submit the probable cause affidavit related to the person's offense to the bureau for automatic suspension."

Page 1, line 16, strike "a circuit or superior court;" and insert **"the court that ordered or imposed the suspension;"**.

Page 4, line 1, strike "a circuit or superior court;" and insert **"the court that ordered or imposed the suspension;"**.

Page 4, after line 18, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 5. IC 35-31.5-2-309.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2019]: **Sec. 309.5. "Specialized driving privileges hearing", for purposes of IC 35-33-7-5, means a hearing in response to a petition filed under IC 9-30-16-3 or IC 9-30-16-4.**

SECTION 6. IC 35-33-7-5 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2019]: Sec. 5. At the initial hearing of a person, the judicial officer shall inform ~~him~~ **the person** orally or in writing:

(1) that ~~he~~ the person has a right to retain counsel and if ~~he~~ the person intends to retain counsel ~~he~~ the person must do so within:

(A) twenty (20) days if the person is charged with a felony; or

(B) ten (10) days if the person is charged only with one

- (1) or more misdemeanors; after this initial hearing because there are deadlines for filing motions and raising defenses, and if those deadlines are missed, the legal issues and defenses that could have been raised will be waived;
- (2) that ~~he the person~~ has a right to assigned counsel at no expense to ~~him the person~~ if ~~he the person~~ is indigent;
- (3) that ~~he the person~~ has a right to a speedy trial;
- (4) of the amount and conditions of bail;
- (5) of ~~his the person's~~ privilege against self-incrimination;
- (6) of the nature of the charge against ~~him~~; ~~and the person~~;
- (7) that a preliminary plea of not guilty is being entered for ~~him the person~~ and the preliminary plea of not guilty will become a formal plea of not guilty:
 - (A) twenty (20) days after the completion of the initial hearing; or
 - (B) ten (10) days after the completion of the initial hearing if the person is charged only with one (1) or more misdemeanors;
 unless the defendant enters a different plea; **and**- (8) that the person may request to petition for a specialized driving privileges hearing if the person is charged with:
 - (A) any offense in which the operation of a motor vehicle is an element of the offense;
 - (B) any offense under IC 9-30-5, IC 35-46-9, or IC 14-15-8 (before its repeal); or
 - (C) any offense under IC 35-42-1, IC 35-42-2, or IC 35-44.1-3-1 that involves the use of a vehicle.

In addition, the judge shall direct the prosecuting attorney to give the defendant or ~~his the defendant's~~ attorney a copy of any formal felony charges filed or ready to be filed. The judge shall, upon request of the defendant, direct the prosecuting attorney to give the defendant or ~~his the defendant's~~ attorney a copy of any formal misdemeanor charges filed or ready to be filed."

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively (Reference is to SB 98 as printed January 10, 2018.) and when so amended that said bill do pass.
Committee Vote: yeas 11, nays 0.

STEUERWALD, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Education, to which was referred Senate Bill 172, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

- Page 4, line 18, after "public" insert "**high**".
- Page 4, line 20, after "public" insert "**high**".
- Page 4, line 25, delete "science".
- Page 4, delete lines 28 through 33, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"(c) If a public school fails to comply with this section, the department shall assist the public school in meeting the requirements under this section."

Page 4, between lines 33 and 34, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 4. IC 20-32-4.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW CHAPTER TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2018 (RETROACTIVE)]:

Chapter 4.5. Local Graduation Pathway Program and Grants

Sec. 1. (a) A school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools may establish a locality specific graduation pathway to satisfy the requirements in IC 20-32-4-1.5(b)(1) by working with an evaluator described in section 2 of this chapter to develop a local graduation pathway in the manner provided in section 3 of this chapter.

(b) The state board shall establish procedures to implement this chapter.

Sec. 2. The state board, in consultation with the department, shall enter into an agreement with a third party collaborator to build partnerships between schools, employers, and higher education to:

- (1) compare the parity of the rigor of proposed local graduation pathways under this chapter; and**
- (2) assist a school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools to develop a local graduation pathway under section 3 of this chapter.**

Sec. 3. (a) A school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools may develop a local graduation pathway if:

- (1) the school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools agrees to work with a collaborator described in section 2 of this chapter in the development of the local graduation pathway; and**
- (2) the school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools establishes partnerships to implement a local graduation pathway with:**
 - (A) business or industry;**
 - (B) higher educational institutions; or**
 - (C) community partners.**

(b) A school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools that develops a local graduation pathway under this chapter may be eligible for a development grant under section 4 of this chapter.

(c) A collaborator described in section 2 of this chapter that works with a school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools under this section must ensure that the local graduation pathway:

- (1) establishes partnerships described in subsection (a)(2);**
- (2) will provide clear outcomes for students beyond high school;**
- (3) will meet current course and credit requirements under IC 20-32-4 and has parity in rigor with postsecondary ready competencies;**
- (4) will take advantage of:**
 - (A) resources available to the school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools; or**
 - (B) partnerships with entities described in subsection (a)(2);**
- (5) will be marketed to:**
 - (A) students;**
 - (B) parents; and**
 - (C) stakeholders; and**
- (6) includes a mechanism to adequately evaluate the effectiveness of the local graduation pathway and a mechanism in which the school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools will work to continuously improve the local graduation pathway based upon the evaluation.**

(d) The school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools must agree to share information relating to the local graduation pathway with the state board to collaborate with other school corporations or schools regarding the establishment or development of a similar local graduation pathway.

Sec. 4. (a) Except as provided in subsection (b) or (c), a school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools that agrees to work with a collaborator described in section 2 of this chapter is eligible to receive a development grant under this section to develop a local graduation pathway under section 3 of this chapter. The amount of the development grant may not exceed twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000).

(b) In order to receive a development grant under this section, the school corporation, school, or consortium of

school corporations or schools must submit a request to the state board in a manner prescribed by the state board within a time frame determined by the state board. If twenty (20) or fewer school corporations, schools, or consortia of school corporations or schools request a development grant within the time frame established by the state board, each school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools is entitled to receive the grant under subsection (a). However, if more than twenty (20) school corporations, schools, or consortia of school corporations or schools submit a request for a development grant under this section within the time frame established by the state board, the state board shall establish a lottery to award not more than twenty (20) development grants under subsection (a).

(c) The state board:

(1) shall establish criteria to the extent possible and achieve geographic balance throughout Indiana when awarding grants under subsection (a); and

(2) may establish additional eligibility criteria for a development grant under this section.

(d) If a school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools that is not eligible to receive a development grant under this section because the school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools does not meet eligibility criteria established by the state board under subsection (c), the school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools may appeal to the state board in a manner prescribed by the state board. The state board shall review each appeal and either:

(1) deny the appeal; or

(2) grant a waiver to the school corporation, school, or consortium of school corporations or schools from the eligibility criteria.

Sec. 5. (a) The local graduation pathway fund is established for the purpose of providing grants under this chapter.

(b) The local graduation pathway fund consists of:

(1) money transferred to the fund from the state board, the department, or the commission for higher education;

(2) appropriations made by the general assembly; and

(3) gifts and donations to the fund.

(c) The local graduation pathway fund shall be administered by the state board.

(d) The expenses of administering the local graduation pathway fund shall be paid from money in the fund.

(e) Money in the local graduation pathway fund at the end of a state fiscal year does not revert to the state general fund.

(f) The treasurer of state shall invest the money in the local graduation pathway fund not currently needed to meet the obligations of the fund in the same manner as other public funds may be invested.

Sec. 6. This chapter expires July 1, 2019."

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 172 as printed January 26, 2018.) and when so amended that said bill do pass.

Committee Vote: yeas 12, nays 0.

BEHNING, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred Senate Bill 180, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 16, line 38, delete "two (2)" and insert "five (5)".

Page 19, line 1, delete "IC 23-1-6" and insert "IC 23-1-46".

Page 19, line 4, strike "two (2)" and insert "five (5)".

(Reference is to SB 180 as printed January 19, 2018.)

and when so amended that said bill do pass.

Committee Vote: yeas 13, nays 0.

STEUERWALD, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Education, to which was referred Senate Bill 217, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 2, delete lines 10 through 20, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"Sec. 2. (a) "Authorized reading specialist trained in dyslexia" means an employee of a school corporation or public school, including a charter school, who has successfully completed training in a dyslexia program approved by the department.

(b) The term includes a:

(1) reading specialist trained in dyslexia;

(2) teacher who has successfully completed the training described in subsection (a); and

(3) tutor or paraprofessional working under the supervision of a teacher described in subdivision (2)."

Page 2, delete line 42.

Page 3, delete lines 1 through 16.

Page 3, line 17, delete "7." and insert "4."

Page 3, line 17, delete "process" and insert "process, as determined by the school corporation or charter school,".

Page 3, line 20, delete "8." and insert "5."

Page 3, line 20, delete "process" and insert "process, as determined by the school corporation or charter school,".

Page 3, between lines 25 and 26, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"Sec. 6. "Reading specialist trained in dyslexia" means a professional who:

(1) has expertise in and either has or is working toward an endorsement or certification, as determined by the department, in providing training for:

(A) phonological and phonemic awareness;

(B) sound and symbol relationships;

(C) alphabet knowledge;

(D) decoding skills;

(E) rapid naming skills; and

(F) encoding skills;

(2) is fluent in the response to intervention process; and

(3) has been trained in the identification of and intervention for dyslexia.

Sec. 7. "Universal screener" means a diagnostic assessment used to aid educators in understanding the causes for student performance, learning strengths, and the needs that underlie student performance. The diagnostic assessment is conducted to identify or predict students who may be at risk for poor learning outcomes and is typically brief and conducted with all students at a particular grade level."

Page 3, delete lines 27 through 33, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"Sec. 1. (a) Subject to section 8 of this chapter, a school corporation's and charter school's reading plan developed under 511 IAC 6.2-3.1 shall include indicators to screen for risk factors of dyslexia, using a screening tool approved by the department that screens for characteristics of dyslexia.

(b) Subject to 511 IAC 6.2-3.1, the mandatory universal screener approved by the department under subsection (a) shall include indicators for dyslexia and must be reported in the kindergarten through grade 2 reading plan."

Page 3, line 34, delete "include" and insert "include, as developmentally appropriate,".

Page 3, line 41, delete "9" and insert "8".

Page 4, line 4, delete "9" and insert "8".

Page 4, line 38, delete "an initial dyslexia screening," and insert **"a universal screener,"**

Page 5, delete lines 1 through 10.

Page 5, line 11, delete "9." and insert "8."

Page 5, line 12, delete "an initial dyslexia screening" and insert **"a universal screener"**.

Page 5, line 25, delete "(a)".

Page 5, delete lines 37 through 42, begin a new line double block indented and insert:

"(C) A statement that the parent may elect to have the student receive an educational evaluation by the school."

Page 6, delete lines 1 through 14.

Page 6, line 18, delete "intervention:" and insert **"intervention may include:**

(1) explicit, direct instruction that is systematic, sequential, and cumulative and follows a logical plan of presenting the alphabetic principle that targets the specific needs of the student without presuming prior skills or knowledge of the student;

(2) individualized instruction to meet the specific needs of the student in a setting that uses intensive, highly concentrated instruction methods and materials that maximize student engagement;

(3) meaning based instruction directed at purposeful reading and writing with an emphasis on comprehension and composition;

(4) instruction that incorporates the simultaneous use of two (2) or more sensory pathways during teacher presentations and student practice; and

(5) other instructional approaches as determined appropriate by the school corporation or charter school."

Page 6, delete lines 19 through 42.

Page 7, line 4, delete "report" and insert **"report, in accordance with the reading plan developed under 511 IAC 6.2-3.1,"**

Page 7, delete line 19, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"Chapter 6. Reading Specialists Trained in Dyslexia".

Page 7, line 21, delete "dyslexia specialist" and insert **"reading specialist trained in dyslexia"**.

Page 7, line 34, delete "dyslexia specialist" and insert **"reading specialist trained in dyslexia"**.

Page 7, line 35, delete "highly".

Page 8, line 7, delete "dyslexia interventionist" and insert **"authorized reading specialist trained in dyslexia"**.

Page 8, delete lines 9 through 14, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"(b) A school corporation or a charter school may enter into an agreement with:

(1) a service provider; or

(2) another school corporation or charter school; to obtain or share services provided by an authorized reading specialist trained in dyslexia.

Sec. 3. (a) A school corporation or charter school may petition the state superintendent of public instruction, or the superintendent's designee, for a waiver necessary to hire an individual that does not meet the training requirements established by the department to be an authorized reading specialist trained in dyslexia. The written petition must be submitted to the department on a form and in a manner prescribed by the department and must specify the reasons the school corporation or charter school is seeking the waiver.

(b) The department may grant a petition submitted under subsection (a) if:

(1) the individual is unable to meet the training requirements to become an authorized reading

specialist trained in dyslexia within the required time period; or

(2) an authorized reading specialist trained in dyslexia leaves the specialist's position with the school corporation or charter school and the school corporation or charter school is not able to timely employ or designate another authorized reading specialist trained in dyslexia.

(c) Waivers granted under subsection (b) may be granted for a period not to exceed one (1) year."

(Reference is to SB 217 as reprinted January 31, 2018.) and when so amended that said bill do pass.

Committee Vote: yeas 13, nays 0.

BEHNING, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred Senate Bill 238, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 19, line 40, strike "Indiana judicial center" and insert **"office of judicial administration"**.

Page 46, between lines 9 and 10, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 47. IC 33-23-3-5, AS AMENDED BY P.L.119-2007, SECTION 1, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 5. (a) A senior judge is entitled to the following compensation:

(1) For each of the first thirty (30) days of service in a calendar year, a per diem of ~~one hundred dollars (\$100)~~ one hundred seventy-five dollars (\$175).

(2) Except as provided in subsection (c), for each day the senior judge serves after serving the first thirty (30) days of service in a calendar year, a per diem of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250).

(3) Reimbursement for:

(A) mileage; and

(B) reasonable expenses, including but not limited to meals and lodging, incurred in performing service as a senior judge;

for each day served as a senior judge.

(b) Subject to subsection (c), the per diem and reimbursement for mileage and reasonable expenses under subsection (a) shall be paid by the state.

(c) The compensation under subsection (a)(2) must be paid by the state from funds appropriated to the supreme court for judicial payroll. If the payroll fund is insufficient to pay the compensation under subsection (a)(2), the supreme court may issue an order adjusting the compensation rate.

(d) A senior judge appointed under this chapter may not be compensated as a senior judge for more than one hundred (100) total calendar days during a calendar year."

Page 66, between lines 25 and 26, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 1. IC 33-37-5-15, AS AMENDED BY P.L.255-2017, SECTION 33, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2018]: Sec. 15. (a) The clerk of the county that maintains jurisdiction over the case shall collect a service of process fee of twenty-eight dollars (\$28) from a party requesting service of a writ, an order, a process, a notice, a tax warrant, or any other paper completed by the sheriff. A service of process fee collected under this subsection may be collected only one (1) time per case for the duration of the case. However, a clerk of the county that maintains jurisdiction over the case shall may collect an additional service of process fee of twenty-eight dollars (\$28) per case for any postjudgment service.

(b) The clerk shall collect from the person who filed the civil

action a service of process fee of sixty dollars (\$60), in addition to any other fee for service of process, if:

- (1) a person files a civil action outside Indiana; and
- (2) a sheriff in Indiana is requested to perform a service of process associated with the civil action in Indiana.

(c) A clerk shall transfer fees collected under this section to the county auditor. ~~of the county in which the sheriff has jurisdiction.~~

(d) The county auditor shall deposit fees collected under this section as follows:

- (1) One dollar (\$1) from each service of process fee described in subsection (a) into the clerk's record perpetuation fund established by the clerk under section 2 of this chapter.
- (2) Twenty-seven dollars (\$27) from each service of process fee described in subsection (a) into either:
 - (A) the pension trust established by the county under IC 36-8-10-12; or
 - (B) if the county has not established a pension trust under IC 36-8-10-12, the county general fund."

Page 78, delete lines 24 through 29, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 105. IC 33-40-6-1 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2018]: Sec. 1. The public defense fund is established to receive court costs or other revenues for county reimbursement and administrative expenses. The fund shall be administered by the ~~division of state court administration of the supreme court~~ **Indiana public defender commission (established by IC 33-40-5-2)**."

Page 78, delete lines 30 through 42, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 106. IC 33-40-6-5 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2018]: Sec. 5. **(a) As used in this section, "commission" means the Indiana public defender commission established by IC 33-40-5-2.**

~~(a)~~ **(b)** Except as provided under section 6 of this chapter, upon certification by a county auditor and a determination by the ~~public defender~~ **commission** that the request is in compliance with the guidelines and standards set by the commission, the commission shall quarterly authorize an amount of reimbursement due the county:

- (1) that is equal to fifty percent (50%) of the county's certified expenditures for indigent defense services provided for a defendant against whom the death sentence is sought under IC 35-50-2-9; and
- (2) that is equal to forty percent (40%) of the county's certified expenditures for defense services provided in noncapital cases except misdemeanors.

The ~~division of state court administration~~ **commission** shall then certify to the auditor of state the amount of reimbursement owed to a county under this chapter.

~~(b)~~ **(c)** Upon receiving certification from the ~~division of state court administration~~, **commission**, the auditor of state shall issue a warrant to the treasurer of state for disbursement to the county of the amount certified."

Page 79, delete lines 1 through 8.

Re-number all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 238 as printed February 2, 2018.)

and when so amended that said bill do pass.

Committee Vote: yeas 13, nays 0.

STEUERWALD, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Veterans Affairs and Public Safety, to which was referred Senate Bill 264, has had the same

under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to SB 264 as printed February 2, 2018.)

Committee Vote: Yeas 12, Nays 0.

FRYE R, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Government and Regulatory Reform, to which was referred Senate Bill 300, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to SB 300 as reprinted January 26, 2018.)

Committee Vote: Yeas 10, Nays 0.

MAHAN, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Education, to which was referred Senate Bill 354, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Replace the effective date in SECTION 6 with "[EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]".

Page 5, line 30, delete "The state board may not grant a waiver under this".

Page 5, delete line 31.

(Reference is to SB 354 as printed January 19, 2018.)

and when so amended that said bill do pass.

Committee Vote: yeas 12, nays 0.

BEHNING, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Employment, Labor and Pensions, to which was referred Senate Bill 369, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to SB 369 as printed February 7, 2018.)

Committee Vote: Yeas 9, Nays 3.

VANNATTER, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred Senate Bill 372, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to SB 372 as printed January 30, 2018.)

Committee Vote: Yeas 10, Nays 0.

STEUERWALD, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Employment, Labor and Pensions, to which was referred Senate Bill 399, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 9, line 4, delete "2018." and insert "2019."

(Reference is to SB 399 as reprinted February 6, 2018.)
and when so amended that said bill do pass.

Committee Vote: yeas 7, nays 5.

VANNATTER, Chair

Report adopted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Government and Regulatory Reform, to which was referred Senate Bill 438, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 1, between lines 12 and 13, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 2. [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE] **The legislative council is urged to assign to an appropriate interim study committee the task of studying issues relating to the benefits of implementing internal control procedures for state government that ensure verification of the number of work hours reported or billed to the state by a person who is:**

- (1) performing work under a state contract; or**
- (2) a state employee."**

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to SB 438 as printed January 24, 2018.)
and when so amended that said bill do pass.

Committee Vote: yeas 10, nays 0.

MAHAN, Chair

Report adopted.

ENGROSSED SENATE BILLS ON THIRD READING

Engrossed Senate Bill 1

Representative Smaltz called down Engrossed Senate Bill 1 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning alcohol and tobacco.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 187: yeas 82, nays 10. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Representative Moed, who had been excused, is now present.

Engrossed Senate Bill 4

Representative J. Young called down Engrossed Senate Bill 4 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning criminal law and procedure.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 188: yeas 94, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Beumer and Wright, who had been present, are now excused.

Engrossed Senate Bill 9

Representative Clere called down Engrossed Senate Bill 9 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning elections.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 189: yeas 93, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

The Speaker yielded the gavel to the Deputy Speaker Pro Tempore, Representative Karickhoff.

Engrossed Senate Bill 13

Representative Ober called down Engrossed Senate Bill 13 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning health.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 190: yeas 91, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Representative Wright, who had been excused, is now present.

Engrossed Senate Bill 44

Representative Pressel called down Engrossed Senate Bill 44 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning state offices and administration.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 191: yeas 78, nays 14. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Representative Candelaria Reardon, who had been present, is now excused.

Engrossed Senate Bill 100

Representative Burton called down Engrossed Senate Bill 100 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning local government.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 192: yeas 92, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Representative Summers, who had been present, is now excused

Engrossed Senate Bill 105

Representative Lehe called down Engrossed Senate Bill 105 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning agriculture and animals.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 193: yeas 92, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Engrossed Senate Bill 142

Representative Engleman called down Engrossed Senate Bill 142 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning health.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 194: yeas 92, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Representatives Candelaria Reardon and Summers, who had been excused, are now present.

Engrossed Senate Bill 165

Representative Thompson called down Engrossed Senate Bill 165 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning elections.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 195: yeas 92, nays 2. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Engrossed Senate Bill 182

Representative Engleman called down Engrossed Senate Bill 182 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning local government.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 196: yeas 93, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Representative Lehman, who had been present, is now excused.

Engrossed Senate Bill 184

Representative Sullivan called down Engrossed Senate Bill 184 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning family law and juvenile law.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 197: yeas 92, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Representative Lehman, who had been excused, is now present.

Engrossed Senate Bill 212

Representative Heine called down Engrossed Senate Bill 212 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning motor vehicles.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 198: yeas 93, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Engrossed Senate Bill 233

Representative McNamara called down Engrossed Senate Bill 233 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning family law and juvenile law.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 199: yeas 94, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

The Deputy Speaker Pro Tempore yielded the gavel to the Speaker.

Engrossed Senate Bill 246

Representative May called down Engrossed Senate Bill 246 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning cemeteries.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 200: yeas 93, nays 1. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Engrossed Senate Bill 265

Representative Cherry called down Engrossed Senate Bill 265 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT concerning transportation.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 201: yeas 94, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Engrossed Senate Bill 351

Representative Miller called down Engrossed Senate Bill 351 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning professions and occupations.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 202: yeas 94, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

Engrossed Senate Bill 360

Representative Kirchhofer called down Engrossed Senate Bill 360 for third reading:

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning health.

The bill was read a third time by sections and placed upon its passage. The question was, Shall the bill pass?

Roll Call 203: yeas 95, nays 0. The bill was declared passed. The question was, Shall the title of the bill remain the title of the act? There being no objection, it was so ordered. The Clerk was directed to inform the Senate of the passage of the bill.

OTHER BUSINESS ON THE SPEAKER’S TABLE

Referrals to Ways and Means

The Speaker announced, pursuant to House Rule 127, that Engrossed Senate Bill 172, 238 and 399 had been referred to the Committee on Ways and Means.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that House Rule 105.1 be suspended for the purpose of adding more than three cosponsors and that Representatives Forestal and Ober be added as cosponsors of Engrossed Senate Bill 1.

SMALTZ

The motion, having been seconded by a constitutional majority and carried by a two-thirds vote of the members, prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Goodin be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 9.

CLERE

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Hamilton be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 24.

KIRCHHOFER

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Frizzell be removed as first sponsor and Representative Friend be substituted therefor and Representative Frizzell be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 52.

FRIEND

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Steuerwald be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 98.

J. YOUNG

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Smaltz be removed as first sponsor and Representative Pressel be substituted therefor and Representative Smaltz be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 134.

PRESSEL

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Errington be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 217.

BURTON

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Zent be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 233.

MCNAMARA

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative C. Brown be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 243.

T. BROWN

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Goodin be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 265.

CHERRY

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Judy be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 300.

MILLER

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Miller be added as cosponsor of Engrossed Senate Bill 438.

LEHMAN

Motion prevailed.

HOUSE MOTION

Mr. Speaker: I move that Representative Jordan be added as coauthor of House Bill 1250.

NEGELE

Motion prevailed.

Pursuant to House Rule 60, committee meetings were announced.

On the motion of Representative Borders, the House adjourned at 3:34 p.m., this twentieth day of February, 2018, until Thursday, February 22, 2018, at 10:00 a.m.

BRIAN C. BOSMA

Speaker of the House of Representatives

M. CAROLINE SPOTTS

Principal Clerk of the House of Representatives